



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

OPEN LETTERS.

Peloria of *Linaria vulgaris*.

I had, to-day, the most extraordinary confirmation of the idea sometimes cherished, that when the mind is charged with a particular notion the subject is not far to seek. Passing near a lot covered over with *Linaria vulgaris* in full bloom I said, "Now is my chance for peloria!" Sure enough, though the incredulous may smile, at my very feet was a bed of twenty or more plants, all showing it, and in most fanciful ways. I have in other seasons occasionally met with a single flower so reverted at the top of a stem, but here were flowers with five spurs, some with three, some with two, and others normal, all on the same stems; this, too, shown by many distinct plants. All the peloric blossoms examined show an andrœcium of five stamens, irrespective of the number of spurs. There are, also, five lobes to the corolla in each case. Some of the flowers are disposed in whorls, these nearest the top of the stem, as if the raceme were breaking up. The number of spurs decreases in acropetal order. In one flower fasciation is shown as well as peloria; the result is a corolla of two *double* spurs, a corrugated palate, an upper lip of four lobes, and a lower of six lobes. It is especially to be noted, in view of the "supply of energy" theory, that these flowers are all along the stems, not necessarily at the top, and that the lowest of flowers, as a rule, are most peloric. Gray's Manual states that Dr. Darlington observed the peloric state of *Linaria vulgaris* in Pennsylvania. For real good Yankee eccentricity, Rhode Island is, as ever, ahead.

Providence, R. I.

W. W. BAILEY.

Botanical papers at the A. A. A. S.

Referring to the biological section of the American Association, the GAZETTE remarks: "Very little can be claimed, however, for the quality of the botanical papers. With some exceptions, they showed a narrowness of observation and a superficiality of study which were lamentable." As the only papers these can possibly refer to, from the synopsis given in the GAZETTE (the others being commended, or only read by title or brief abstract) were Professor Sturtevant's, Professor Schrenk's, Professor Halsted's, Professor Beal's, or Mr. Meehan's, and as the editorial notes show which papers the "cap" is intended "to fit," I trust you will allow me to remark that I should feel no right to object to this decision of the editors of the GAZETTE if I felt that my papers were understood by those who listened to them. Indeed, I do not now object to your decision, but simply desire to ask the reader to compare the abstracts as given, with the notes of what the objectors said in proving the point that the essays must have been misunderstood.

I had no other object in presenting the papers than to contribute to the interest of the meeting, and I can only say, in regard to the quality of the entertainment offered, as Dr. Gray once said when told that a brother botanist had declared that he could "get out a better manual" than the doctor had done, "He is the man I want to see. By all means, let him bring his manual out." No one will be more pleased with better papers than I.

Having, however, the above thought of temporary interest only in view, I had no idea of publishing my papers in the form in which they were then presented; but in view of the sharp, and perhaps just, criti-